

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A23P 1/00, C11D 3/10, 7/08, 1/04, 10/04, 1/83		(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/15202
A1		(43) International Publication Date: 1 May 1997 (01.05.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US96/16756		(81) Designated States: BR, CA, JP, MX, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).
(22) International Filing Date: 18 October 1996 (18.10.96)		
(30) Priority Data: 08/547,481 24 October 1995 (24.10.95) US		
(71) Applicant: THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY [US/US]; One Procter & Gamble Plaza, Cincinnati, OH 45202 (US).		
(72) Inventors: ROSELLE, Brian, Joseph; 1490 Bruton Parish, Fairfield, OH 45014 (US). TRINH, Toan; 8671 Creekwood Lane, Maineville, OH 45039 (US).		
(74) Agents: REED, T., David et al.; The Procter & Gamble Company, 5299 Spring Grove Avenue, Cincinnati, OH 45217 (US).		Published <i>With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>
(54) Title: CLEANING/SANITIZING METHODS, COMPOSITIONS, AND/OR ARTICLES FOR PRODUCE		
(57) Abstract <p>Basic cleaning composition using toxicologically-acceptable ingredients for cleaning fruits and vegetables are provided. Liquid formulations comprising carbonates, detergent surfactant, such as oleate, alcohol ethoxylates, etc., and neutralized phosphoric acid are sprayed onto apples, lettuce and the like to remove soil and unwanted deposits, especially wax. Articles for applying the compositions to produce by spraying are disclosed.</p>		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

CLEANING/SANITIZING METHODS, COMPOSITIONS, AND/OR ARTICLES FOR PRODUCE

5

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to methods for removing dirt and other
10 unwanted residues from produce, e.g., fruits and vegetables, which is intended for
ingestion by humans or lower animals and to deterative compositions, especially in
liquid form, which are especially suitable for practicing said methods.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

It is well-known and appreciated by consumers that fruits and vegetables
15 should be thoroughly washed prior to ingestion in order to remove soils and other
unwanted residues which may be undesirably clinging to the surfaces thereof. In
addition, some consumers wish to remove the artificial "waxy" coatings which may
be applied to some fruits to retard moisture loss for increased storage life and to
enhance their appearance. It has been estimated that 95% of consumers recognize
20 the need for thorough washing but, ordinarily, only use tap water for this purpose.
On the order of 5% of those consumers who do wash their vegetables use a
household cleaner, typically a liquid dishwashing product, to help ensure
cleanliness. However, dishwashing products are not specifically intended for such
use, inasmuch as they are usually designed to provide high, persistent suds which
25 makes them inconvenient to remove from the fruits or vegetables which have been
washed therewith. It will also be appreciated that the formulation of truly effective
compositions, especially those which can be used safely by individual consumers,
for washing fruits and vegetables presents a unique problem to the formulator,
inasmuch as many art-disclosed cleaning ingredients would, presumably, not be
30 desirable for use in direct contact with foods where they might not be fully removed.

Moreover, it would be especially desirable to provide effective,
toxicologically-acceptable cleaning compositions for fruits and vegetables in the
form of substantially low-sudsing liquid solutions which are clear or which have
only minimal haziness. Liquid solutions are convenient for the user, since they can
35 be applied directly to soiled fruits and vegetables, followed by rinsing in tap water.
The clarity of the liquids connotes cleanliness to the user and is thus highly
desirable. Low sudsing is an important attribute so that removal of the solution by

rinsing is achieved quickly and easily. It would also be of advantage if such compositions could be provided in the form of concentrates, which could be diluted by the consumer before use and/or applied to the fruits and vegetables as a direct spray-on.

5 Unfortunately, many toxicologically-acceptable cleaning ingredients do not meet the aforesaid requirements for clear, low-sudsing, dilutable liquid products. Many deterative surfactants form cloudy or even opaque suspensions, even in soft water. Of course, many surfactants are specifically designed to be high sudsing. Still others form relatively intractable phases in their concentrated form.

10 It has been disclosed that an aqueous carbonate cleaning solution, properly formulated, e.g. with basic carbonate buffer and other ingredients can provide liquid compositions having the desired properties described above. It has also been disclosed that preferred compositions can be formulated in the basic pH range. Even when such basic compositions do have a soapy feel, they are preferred over the
15 acidic compositions herein for removing artificial waxy coatings, especially from fruit such as apples. However, the invention also comprises basic compositions having less soapy feel.

BACKGROUND ART

The use and selection of cleaning ingredients for the purpose of washing
20 fruits and vegetables is described by the United States Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Section 173.315: "Ingredients for use in washing or lye peeling of fruits and vegetables". These regulations restrict the ingredients that may be used for direct contact with food to those described as "generally regarded as safe" (GRAS), and a few other selected ingredients. These sections also provide certain limitations
25 on the amount of material that can be used in a given context.

Among these ingredients, the experienced formulator will find only a few ingredients which can provide effective cleaning of hydrophobic residues, such as waxes, oils, or man-made chemical residues such as pesticides. It is recognized these types of residues are removed most readily by surface active ingredients in
30 water, or by organic solvents largely in the absence of water. Other types of soils, especially particulate insoluble soils that do not readily disperse in water, are effectively removed by surface active materials in water, especially when aided by complex anionic salts, such as citrates (polycarboxylates), or polyphosphate salts.

Within this limited group of ingredients the range of effective cleaning
35 compositions well suited to the task of cleaning fruits and vegetables, especially as practiced by individual consumers, have not been previously described. It is desirable to formulate liquid compositions which are amenable to either direct

application to produce, preferably by spray application, or could be provided in suitable concentrated form to allow convenient dilution in a bowl or sink of water for washing of produce by immersion. Further, it is desirable if the compositions are low sudsing, and easily rinsed, without leaving residue. Preferred compositions should be mild to the hands, especially for direct application.

Food Chemical News, Inc., 1991, p. 334.1, reports that PEG 200-9500 has been cleared under §178.3750 as a component in articles for use in contact with food (Fed. Register, Oct. 15, 1968). Nonetheless, for washing produce, polyethylene glycol should be affirmed as GRAS.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention encompasses methods for cleaning produce, especially fruits and vegetables, (and compositions, as disclosed hereinafter, for practicing said methods) at a basic pH. The present invention comprises several aspects including:

- I. A method for cleaning produce comprising contacting the surfaces of said produce by direct application of an aqueous cleaning solution typically comprising:
- (a) toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from about 1% to about 10%, preferably from about 1.25% to about 3.6%, more preferably about 1.43 %, by weight of carbonate ion (CO_3^{2-}), such as potassium and/or sodium basic materials, e.g., the salts of carbonate and/or bicarbonate;
 - (b) optionally, but preferably, from about 0.01% to about 15% of $\text{C}_8\text{-C}_{18}$ fatty acid;
 - (c) optionally, from about 0.1% to about 4% by weight of nonionic surfactant, especially ethoxylated and/or propoxylated adducts of aliphatic C_{12-18} alcohols, but preferably less than about one eighth of the amount of said fatty acid;
 - (d) optionally, from about 0.1% to about 4% by weight of organic polycarboxylic acid, preferably citric acid;
 - (e) optionally, up to about 0.2% by weight of base-stable anionic surfactant such as the alkali or alkaline earth salts of dodecylbenzene sulfonate;
 - (f) optionally, an additional toxicologically acceptable non-carbonate basic buffer such as potassium and/or sodium basic materials, e.g., the hydroxides;
 - (g) optionally, toxicologically-acceptable preservative;
 - (h) optionally, but preferably, from about 0.05% to about 10%, preferably from about 0.1% to about 5%, more preferably from about 0.3% to about 2% of phosphoric acid;

- (i) optionally, at least about 0.05%, preferably from about 0.1% to about 10%, most preferably from about 0.25% to about 3.0%, by weight, of water-soluble polyethylene glycol having a molecular weight of about 200, or higher; and
- 5 (j) the balance comprising an aqueous carrier selected from water and, optionally, low molecular weight, toxicologically-acceptable organic solvent such as ethanol, glycerol, etc.;

all of the acidic materials above being, of course, neutralized under the alkaline conditions of the product, preferably neutralized with sodium and/or potassium, preferably potassium, compatible basic material, wherein said aqueous solution has a

10 pH in the range of 9.5 or greater, preferably more than about 10.5, and even more preferably from about 11.5 to about 12.5, and said composition preferably being essentially free of any material that is not toxicologically acceptable. Preferably, all of the ingredients are GRAS.

15 The inventions disclosed herein preferably encompass compositions for use in a method for cleaning fruits and vegetables at a basic pH above about 9.5, comprising:

- (a) toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from about 1% to about 10%, preferably from about 1.25% to about
- 20 3.6%, more preferably about 1.43%, by weight of carbonate ion (CO_3^{2-}), such as potassium and/or sodium basic materials, e.g., the salts of carbonate and/or bicarbonate;
- (b) optionally, but preferably, from about 0.01% to about 15% of C₈-C₁₈ fatty acid which is neutralized, preferably a member selected from the
- 25 group consisting of sodium or potassium oleate (preferred), or from about 0.5% to 10% by weight of sodium or potassium laurate;
- (c) optionally, from about 0.1% to about 4% by weight of nonionic surfactant, especially ethoxylated/propoxylated adducts of aliphatic C₁₂-
- 30 18 alcohols, but preferably less than about one eighth of the amount of said fatty acid;
- (d) optionally, but preferably, from about 0.2% to about 4% by weight of potassium and/or sodium polycarboxylate, having detergent building capability and preferably being derived from natural sources, such as potassium and/or sodium citrate, as a dispersant for particulate soils;
- 35 (e) optionally, up to about 0.2% by weight of base-stable anionic surfactant such as the alkali or alkaline earth salts of dodecylbenzene sulfonate;
- (f) optionally, an additional toxicologically acceptable non-carbonate basic

buffer such as potassium and/or sodium basic materials. e.g., the hydroxides;

(g) optionally, toxicologically-acceptable preservative;

(h) optionally, but preferably, from about 0.05% to about 10%, preferably from about 0.1% to about 5%, more preferably from about 0.3% to about 2% of phosphoric acid; and

(i) optionally, at least about 0.05%, preferably from about 0.1% to about 10%, most preferably from about 0.25% to about 3.0%, by weight, of water-soluble polyethylene glycol having a molecular weight of about 200, or higher;

(j) the balance preferably comprising aqueous carrier selected from water and, optionally, low molecular weight, toxicologically-acceptable organic solvent such as ethanol, glycerol, etc., preferably selected from water and water-ethanol;

wherein said aqueous cleaning solution has a pH of 9.5 or greater, and preferably employs carbonate salt, or salts, as buffer (a), preferably with hydroxide base, to provide a pH of from about 11 to about 12.5, more preferably from about 11.5 to about 12.5. The compositions can also be formulated as concentrates, in which at least part of (i) is removed and the levels of the remaining ingredients are adjusted to complete the formula. In all of the above lists of components herein, if an ingredient can be classified in more than one place, it will be classified in the first place it can appear.

A preferred method for cleaning fruits and vegetables at a basic pH, preferably more than about 9.5, comprises contacting the surfaces of said fruits and vegetables with an aqueous cleaning solution comprising potassium oleate, preferably at a level of more than about 0.5%. Potassium oleate is mild, rinses well, has minimal odor, is effective in removing unwanted materials, especially wax, from apples, does not oversuds, and is very safe, even when the composition is misused and the vegetable, or fruit, etc., is not completely rinsed. Therefore, it is a uniquely preferred surfactant for use in cleaning food materials like vegetables and fruits. The potassium cation is more useful than the sodium cation, especially in the absence of polyethylene glycol, since the potassium oleate is quite soluble whereas the sodium oleate tends to form a less soluble soap, especially at low temperatures.

A concentrated, alkaline method for cleaning produce comprises contacting the surfaces of produce with a cleaning solution containing from about 0.5% to about 15%, preferably from about 0.75% to about 8%, more preferably from about 1% to about 5%, detergent surfactant, preferably one that is GRAS, and more

preferably said oleate surfactant, said cleaning solution having a pH of from about 9.5 to about 12.5, preferably from about 11 to about 12.5, especially when the detergent surfactant is a soap such as the said oleate, more preferably from about 11.5 to about 12.3. Such compositions when used in an effective amount to clean
5 apples coated with wax, will provide more effective removal of the wax when combined with the neutralized phosphoric acid. Removal of wax from apples is one of the most difficult cleaning tasks and therefore is indicative of overall superior performance. It is important to remove as much wax as possible to minimize the amount of any undesirable materials that may be trapped by the wax.

10 Another preferred variation in the above methods for cleaning produce involves placing said cleaning solutions in a spray container to provide a spray of said solution to distribute the said solution, or solutions, over the surfaces of the produce while utilizing only a minimum amount of the cleaning solution and minimizing the exposure of the remaining solution to the atmosphere, where the
15 solution is more likely to be contaminated and/or exposed to oxygen, both of which tend to cause undesirable changes in the solutions from aesthetic and/or performance considerations. In such spray processes, there is only need for a relatively small amount of material in the package, and for individual consumer use, this is desirable, since some consumers will not be able to manipulate large weights. For individual
20 consumer usage, typically, the container will contain no more than about two gallons (about eight liters), preferably no more than about one gallon (about four liters), especially when the container is a spray container, even one that has a tube that permits the spray device to be manipulated while the bulk container remains in place. More preferably such spray containers contain about one liter, or less, of
25 cleaning solution.

The invention encompasses basic cleaning compositions in both concentrated and dilute forms, especially adapted for practicing said methods. (In the following disclosure, the lower amounts of the specified ingredients denote the dilute forms of the compositions herein and the higher amounts denote the concentrated forms
30 which are typically diluted by a factor of from about 2 to about 3.) The compositions can be concentrated even more to non-aqueous liquids or solids according to the teaching in U.S. Pat. 5,280,042, Lopes, said patent being incorporated by reference. Such compositions include the following.

A composition for cleaning fruits and vegetables at a basic pH, comprising:

- 35 (a) toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from about 1% to about 10%, preferably from about 1.25 % to about 3.6 %, more preferably about 1.43 % as carbonate ion (CO_3^{2-}) such as

potassium and/or sodium basic materials, e.g., the salts of carbonate and/or bicarbonate;

- (b) from about 0.1% to about 15%, preferably from about 1% to about 5%, by weight of a member selected from the group consisting of sodium or potassium oleate (preferred), sodium or potassium laurate, or mixtures thereof;
- (c) optionally, from about 0.1% to about 4%, preferably about 0.3% to about 1.0%, by weight of nonionic surfactant as described above;
- (d) from about 0.2% to about 4% by weight of polycarboxylic acid salt, especially potassium hydrogen citrate;
- (e) from about 0.3% to about 5% of ortho-phosphoric acid; and
- (f) the balance comprising aqueous carrier selected from water and water-ethanol;

wherein said composition has a pH of 9.5 or greater.

Preferred compositions for use herein in a concentrated alkaline method contain toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from about 1% to about 10%, preferably from about 1.25 % to about 3.6 %, more preferably about 1.43 %, by weight of carbonate ion (CO_3^{2-}); from about 0.1% to about 15%, preferably from about 0.5% to about 8%, more preferably from about 1% to about 5%, detergent surfactant, preferably one that is GRAS; from about 0.3% to about 5% of ortho-phosphoric acid and have a pH of from about 9.5 to about 12.5, preferably from about 11.5 to about 12.3. Such compositions, when used in an effective amount to clean apples coated with wax, will provide more effective removal of the wax. Removal of wax from apples is one of the most difficult cleaning tasks and therefore is indicative of overall superior performance.

The present invention also encompasses deterative compositions with an improved tactile impression which are especially adapted for cleaning fruits and vegetables, comprising:

- (a) toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from about 1% to about 10%, preferably from about 1.25 % to about 3.6 %, more preferably about 1.43 %, by weight of carbonate ion (CO_3^{2-}) such as potassium and/or sodium basic materials, e.g., the salts of carbonate and/or bicarbonate;
- (b) at least about 0.1%, preferably from about 0.5% to about 8%, most preferably from about 1% to about 5%, by weight, of a C_{12} - C_{18} fatty acid or salt thereof;
- (c) at least about 0.05%, preferably from about 0.1% to about 10%, most

- preferably from about 0.25% to about 3.0%, by weight, of water-soluble polyethylene glycol having a molecular weight of about 200, or higher;
- (d) from about 0.1% to about 5% of neutralized ortho-phosphoric acid; and
 - (e) water or water-ethanol fluid carrier, said composition having a basic pH.

5 The balance of the composition can comprise various optional adjunct materials, pH-adjusting agents, perfumes or essences, preservatives and the like.

 In a preferred mode, component (b) has a molecular weight in the range from about 300 to about 9500.

10 Typical compositions herein are wherein component (a) is potassium oleate, and wherein the weight ratio of (a):(b) is in the range from about 1:2 to about 30:1, preferably from about 1:1 to about 15:1.

 The compositions having improved tactile impression are typically formulated in the basic pH range, preferably from about pH 9.5 to about pH 12.5. Preferred compositions have a viscosity at room temperature of less than about 100
15 centipoise, preferably less than about 50 centipoise for sprayable compositions.

 Preferred compositions for use herein contain only materials that are GRAS, including, of course, direct food additives affirmed as GRAS, to protect against possible misuse by the consumer. Traditionally, most suggestions for cleaning of fruits and/or vegetables have contemplated a commercial scale where there is
20 typically more control over the conditions, especially the amount and thoroughness of rinsing. The present invention, especially the methods involving use of hand held trigger activated spray means are primarily/solely suitable for use by individual consumers so that it is essential that extra safety be built into the product. Failure to rinse thoroughly after cleaning is less of a concern if all of the ingredients are GRAS.
25 This is especially important when concentrated basic compositions suitable for removal of wax from apples are used. The larger amounts of materials needed for removal of wax create an heretofore unknown level of risk for the individual consumers, many of whom are not likely to read, or follow, instructions which would permit safe use of non-GRAS materials.

30 The ingredients in the above compositions are preferably selected and used in proportions which provide substantially clear compositions. "Substantially clear" includes only minimal haziness, and preferably the compositions are completely clear. The ingredients are also selected to have minimal odor, both initially and after storage. The lack of odor is especially important in compositions for use on food.
35 The compositions preferably have a viscosity that is more than about 2 centipoise, preferably more than about 10 centipoise when at rest, but thin under shear to permit easy dispensing, especially from spray containers.

Below pH about 9.7, the compositions can exhibit some objectionable fatty acid odor. Even at the optimal pH's above 11, some odor can persist. In order to mask this odor, the compositions can contain a GRAS perfume, or essence, ingredient. Especially preferred for this use are oils derived from citrus fruit, e.g., oranges, lemons, limes, grapefruits, tangerines, tangelos, etc. which contain relatively large amounts of terpenes.

All documents cited herein are incorporated herein by reference.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The following toxicologically-acceptable ingredients are used in the preparation of the preferred compositions herein. By "toxicologically-acceptable" is meant that any residues from the ingredients of the compositions which may remain on the fruits or vegetables cleansed therewith are safe for ingestion by humans and/or lower animals.

Buffer - The performance of the aqueous cleaning solution is directly related to the level of carbonate ion present. Potassium carbonate is a convenient and preferred basic pH buffer. Sodium bicarbonate is a highly desirable material to add to the compositions of this invention as a part of the buffering system since it is readily available as baking soda in food grade and is therefore relatively inexpensive, while providing a highly desirable purity to the composition.

It has been discovered, surprisingly, that increasing the level of carbonate salts to provide a level of carbonate ion above 1% provides improved cleaning, especially of waxes on apples. This improved cleaning is accomplished without increasing pH. Using carbonates is especially advantageous since they are relatively safe and readily available in food grades. The basic buffer system described herein provides not only a given initial pH range, but also a capacity to maintain elevated pH during use. In addition to the carbonates, other toxicologically-acceptable basic buffers can be used in the compositions herein to maintain product pH in the base range. For ease of formulatability, it is highly preferred that such basic buffers be in their potassium salt form, e.g., potassium citrate is a buffer and is a preferred dispersant for particulate soils. Compositions formulated with a mixture of potassium and sodium cations in molar ratios of from about 1:1 to about 10:1, preferably from about 2:1 to about 8:1, more preferably from about 4:1 to about 5:1 potassium to sodium, e.g., as provided by mixtures of potassium hydroxide (hydrate) and sodium bicarbonate, have desirable rheological properties. The compositions are sufficiently viscous, so as to cling to the fruit or vegetable until spread, but are readily dispensed, e.g. by means of a spray device, either aerosol or finger-activated pump. The levels and identities of the ingredients are adjusted to provide products

having the desired viscosities as set forth herein. e.g., more than about 2, preferably more than about 5, more preferably more than about 10 centipoise when at rest, and less than about 150, preferably less than about 100, more preferably less than about 50 centipoise under shear of 1000 sec^{-1} .

5 The ability of the preferred compositions containing mixtures of both sodium and potassium cations to shear thin is important to promote easy dispensing, especially when the compositions are sprayed, while maintaining the ability to be thick, cling, and delay run off after being applied to the produce.

10 The pH is preferably not greater than about 12.5, and especially does not contain large amounts of buffer at higher pHs for consumer safety, especially when the compositions are sprayed.

15 Nonionic Surfactant - The nonionic surfactant is preferably selected from materials known in the art, such as alkylene oxide (ethylene oxide and/or propylene oxide) adducts of C_{10-18} aliphatic alcohols or acids, C_{10-18} aliphatic alcohol adducts of glucose (alkyl polyglucosides). The specific nonionic surfactant selected ideally has a hydrophilic-lipophilic balance (HLB) greater than about 10, and a cloud point above about 35°C in the composition. The United States Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) specifically describes an ethylene oxide/propylene oxide adduct of C_{12-18} aliphatic alcohol of molecular weight of about 800. Such a material is available as PLURAFAC RA-20 (BASF).

20 In the basic compositions containing soap, the alkoxyated alcohol functions mainly as a dispersant for any soap curd which may form during the cleansing operation. Further, it is recognized that the selection of non-nitrogen containing nonionics can minimize the possibility of microbial growth in the dilute surfactant compositions.

25 Fatty Acid and/or Salts Thereof - The acidic compositions herein are preferably formulated using an unsaturated fatty acid; oleic acid is preferred and convenient for this use. However, the particular oleic acid that is selected should preferably be low in polyunsaturates, e.g., contain less than about 10%, preferably less than about 7%, more preferably less than about 5%, polyunsaturated acid minor components, and will typically have an Iodine Value (IV) of from about 70 to about 100, preferably from about 83 to about 95, more preferably from about 85 to about 90. Polyunsaturated fatty acids are not preferred herein, due to odor problems. However, this is primarily from aesthetic considerations since such acids are effective in cleaning. The amount of polyunsaturated fatty acids should be less than about 8%, preferably 0%. The amount of polyunsaturated fatty acids with more than about two double bonds should be less than about 1%, preferably 0%. Saturated

fatty acids are unacceptable as they have limited solubility for longer chainlength materials ($\geq C_{12}$), or have unacceptable odor (C_{10}). For example, stearic and/or tallow fatty acids soaps, even potassium soaps, do not have enough solubility at room temperature, where most produce cleaning is done by individual consumers, to
5 formulate even compositions containing the minimum of about 0.1% soap required for acceptable cleaning. Other specific solubilizing surfactants in higher proportions would be required to solubilize these saturated fatty acids. Pamolyn 100 FGK oleic acid is a good example of a suitable commercial fatty acid.

10 Phosphoric Acid Phosphoric acid is an extremely desirable component for improving the removal of, e.g., wax from apples. The advantage is not due solely to pH since the improvement is observed at any pH. E.g., as disclosed hereinafter, when the pH is varied from about 11 to about 12, the results are superior when the neutralized ortho-phosphoric acid is present. The result is also not due to any ability
15 of the neutralized phosphoric acid to act as a builder to inhibit the problems associated with water hardness, since the results are improved when the compositions are used full strength by application directly on the waxed surface.

It is surprising that the neutralized orthophosphoric acid provides such a large benefit. The advantage is especially noticed when the compositions are used
20 full strength, e.g., by spraying the composition directly onto the surface of the produce.

Polyethylene Glycol - The water-soluble polyethylene glycol polymer (PEG) employed herein is the known article of commerce and is available under a variety of trade names, of which CARBOWAX (Union Carbide Corporation) is exemplary.
25 PEG's in the average molecular weight range of from about 200 to about 20,000 can be used herein, and PEG as CARBOWAX in the average molecular weight range of at least about 200, typically 300 to about 9500, is convenient and preferred. As disclosed above, the compositions herein will comprise at least about 0.05%, by weight, of the PEG and will typically comprise from about 0.1% to about 10%, by
30 weight, of PEG. The amounts used can vary with the molecular weight of the PEG, the amount of oleate or other fatty acid used in the composition, the desired viscosity of the composition, and like factors within the discretion of the formulator. The following Table 1 illustrates the variation in viscosity which can be effected using various levels of PEG and varying PEG molecular weights in a liquid composition
35 (Control) comprising 3% potassium oleate. Table 1 also illustrates the effect of sodium benzoate (Bz) on viscosity.

Table 1

<u>Composition</u>	<u>Viscosity (cP* as made)</u>	
	<u>72°F (22°C)</u>	<u>43°F (6°C)</u>
Control (no PEG)	7	110
5 Control + 0.674% Bz	23	1000
Control + 0.118% Bz	7	159
Control + 0.1% PEG 400	5	36
Control + 0.5% PEG 400	2	17
Control + 0.1% PEG 8000	5	23
10 Control + 0.5% PEG 8000	8	4

*Viscosity in centipoise as measured using Brookfield LVTD #2 spindle, 60 rpm at the designated temperature.

In a typical mode, the preferred compositions herein that have an improved tactile impression will comprise oleate:PEG weight ratios in the range from about 1:2 to about 30:1, preferably from about 1:1 to about 15:1.

Tactile Impression - The compositions herein which contain the polyethylene glycol are characterized not only by their excellent cleaning performance and sudsing/rinsability properties, but also by their improved viscosity properties and improved "feel". While, as disclosed above, the improved viscosities of the compositions herein are readily demonstrated quantitatively using standard measurement techniques, the improved feel of the compositions which come into contact with the users' hands is a qualitative tactile impression. However, this improved, "non-slippery", "non-soapy" improvement in skin feel can be demonstrated by rubbing Test (PEG-containing) and Control (no PEG) compositions on the hands or inner forearms of volunteer graders. Even in such rudimentary tests, the graders can readily distinguish the improved tactile impression of the compositions made in accordance with this invention.

Optional Surfactants - Optionally, base stable anionic surfactants can be employed, as allowed by the United States Code of Federal Regulations, Title 21, Section 173.315. Preferred are salts of dodecylbenzene sulfonate, typically at levels up to 0.2%. Also described in the CFR are phosphate esters of ethylene and/or ethylene/propylene oxide adducts of aliphatic alcohols, dioctyl sulfosuccinate, and 2-ethylhexyl sulfate.

Sequestrant/builder - The organic polycarboxylic acid, or salt thereof, e.g., citric acid, or sodium and/or potassium citrate, and/or ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, or sodium and/or potassium ethylenediaminetetraacetate, are standard items of commerce and are GRAS. Other organic poly carboxylic acids, especially those that

are GRAS, such as tartaric, malic, etc. acids, can also be used. When formulating the basic formulations herein, it is preferred to use the potassium salt, as compared with the sodium salt, to provide ease of formulatability. Complex phosphates can also be used, but are generally avoided due to regulatory considerations.

5 Preservative - Formulating the present compositions at high pH reduces the tendency for biological growth of contaminants, such as bacteria, fungi, or molds. At neutral pH, an increased reliance on preservatives is required to insure the lack of biological growth through contamination in making or in use. Standard food-grade preservatives such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and/or the salts thereof, at a
10 level of from about 0.01% to about 0.2% of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, or its sodium and/or potassium salts, can be used although, in general, the basic pH compositions herein do not require a preservative.

Antioxidants The use of commercial oleic acid, or oleate salts, can be complicated by development of off-odors and/or yellowing of the compositions in
15 which they appear. These undesirable properties are believed to be caused by complex side reactions initiated by the reaction of oxygen with primarily the polyunsaturated components of the fatty acid stock. These results can be avoided, or minimized, by avoiding contact with air, or by controlling the quality of the fatty acid stock so that the amount and type of polyunsaturates are minimized as
20 described above, and/or by the addition of antioxidants.

 It has been found, that the addition of tocopherols (e.g., Vitamin E, or tocopherol acetates) in alkaline formulations is advantageous, as they do not degrade, nor do they impart a strong color. They inhibit the development of off-odors for extended periods of time so that the need for masking scents is minimized,
25 or eliminated, particularly for oleic acid stocks of high quality, as described above. The use of butylated phenols, such as BHT and BHA is also useful, but the quantity should be limited to avoid imparting colors to the compositions. Other food grade antioxidants such as Vitamin C and sulfites, are desirable to prevent deterioration of the compositions by the action of oxygen, but care must be taken since vitamin C
30 can suffer color degradation and sulfites can cause odor problems. Sulfites also have been the target of potential health concerns.

Fluid Carrier - The major proportion, e.g., more than about two thirds, (typically, 80%-98%, by weight) of the compositions herein comprises water as the solubilizing carrier for the ingredients. As noted in the Examples hereinafter, water-
35 ethanol can also be employed and is especially preferred when formulating the basic pH compositions herein. The ethanol level preferably should not exceed 2% in the solution used to clean the produce, to avoid an alcoholic odor, especially when

spraying. Other compatible, water-soluble, low molecular weight solvents such as glycerol can also be used.

The compositions herein are preferably used by placing them in a package comprising either an aerosol container or a non-aerosol spray device "spray means."

5 Said spray means is any of the manually activated, preferably "trigger-type," means for producing a spray of liquid droplets as is known in the art. Typical spray means are disclosed in U.S. Pat. Nos.: 4,082,223, Nozawa, issued Apr. 4, 1978; 4,161,288, McKinney, issued July 17, 1979; 4,558,821, Tada et al., issued Dec. 17, 1985; 4,434,917, Saito et al., issued Mar. 6, 1984; and 4,819,835, Tasaki, issued Apr. 11, 10 1989, all of said patents being incorporated herein by reference. The spray bottle, or container can be any of the ones commonly used for containing hard surface cleaner detergent compositions. Examples of bottles are those in U.S. Design Pat. Nos.: 244,991, Weekman et al., issued July 12, 1977; and 275,078, Wassergord et al., issued Aug. 14, 1984, said patents being incorporated herein by reference.

15 The spray means herein can also include those that incorporate a compatible propellant gas into the liquid and those that will foam even detergent compositions having a viscosity of less than about 15 cps. The device can also be one that can be adjusted to either give a liquid spray or a foam. The spray means herein are typically those that act upon a discrete amount of the composition itself, typically by 20 means of a piston that displaces the composition and expels the composition through a nozzle to create a spray of thin liquid.

Preferred articles include the compositions herein that are suitable for use in the processes described herein, in a package that can provide a spray. Such articles are not widely marketed. This is surprising in view of the clear advantages for such 25 products for use by individual consumers. The typical use involves treating individual items of produce, which would make preparation of a "bath" wasteful.

In a preferred process for using the products described herein, and especially those formulated to be used at full strength, the product is sprayed onto the food product to be cleaned, rubbed, rinsed and/or wiped off with a suitable clean material 30 like cloth, sponge, a paper towel, etc.

Surprisingly, the compositions and processes described herein can provide effective disinfectancy/sanitation. In order to provide good kill of microorganisms, especially bacteria, one should use high concentrations and/or longer exposure times. Typically, the products should be used full strength and allowed to remain on 35 the produce for at least about one minute, preferably at least about five minutes, and, for some microorganisms, even ten minutes may be required. Longer exposure times (i.e., the time that the bacteria are in contact with the product) give better

antimicrobial benefits. The importance of time depends both on the pH of the product and on the formula concentration. At high pH (≥ 11.5) and high concentrations, antibacterial efficacy is achieved quickly. At lower pH values ($\text{pH} \leq 11$) and lower formula concentrations, a longer period of exposure time is required to achieve the same efficacy.

Higher pHs are also better, in general. This factor is important for the product's performance on the Gram negative bacteria, e.g., *Escherichia coli* and *Pseudomonas* species. Higher product pH's produce quicker and more complete kill. The opposite is true for the Gram positive bacteria, e.g., *Staphylococcus aureus*. Performance is equal to, or slightly better, as the pH is lowered from 11.5 to 9.5. However, this is only true when the formula contains oleic acid.

As stated above, higher formula concentrations (when done independently of pH) enhance the antimicrobial efficacy of the product. The presence of oleic acid is the key factor for the performance on Gram positive organisms like *S. aureus*, while the pH is probably a bigger factor for the Gram negative bacteria, e.g., *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas* species.

Packaging the products herein in a container with instructions for usage in terms of timing and avoidance of dilution in order to provide disinfectancy/sanitization, will help the individual consumer by providing information for proper usage in order to remove/kill microorganisms. It is a special advantage of the product that it can be used for this purpose at a time in the food production process where recontamination is minimized.

All parts, percentages, and ratios herein are "by weight" unless otherwise stated. All number values are approximate unless otherwise stated.

The following Examples illustrate the compositions and processes of this invention, but are not intended to be limiting thereof. The exemplified basic liquid compositions can be prepared at pH 9.5-12.5 by dissolving the ingredients in water or water-ethanol using conventional mixing apparatus. In a convenient mode, water is placed in a mixing vessel. Potassium hydroxide, any glycerin (processing aid), any citric acid, any bicarbonate, and any ethanol are added in the named sequence, with stirring. The oleic acid is added with high shear and stirring is continued. The PEG (which can conveniently be predispersed in water) is then added. The optional perfume ingredients can be added any time after the oleic acid has been dissolved in the mixture.

EXAMPLE 1

Ingredient	A	B	C	D	E	F
KOH	.91	1.4	1.72	1.35	1.93	2.25
Citric acid	.54	.55	.56	.54	.57	.57
Sodium bicarbonate	.55	1.5	2.0	.55	1.5	2.0
PEG 3350	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Ethanol	2	2	2	2	2	2
Oleic acid	0	0	0	2.65	2.65	2.65
grapefruit oil	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
DI water	balance	balance	balance	balance	balance	balance
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
neat pH	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5	11.5
Gardner test % Wax Removal	11.1	17.1	62.4	36	47.7	70.3

- 5 Gardner Wax removal determination: A Gardner cleaning machine has been shown to simulate the hand washing and removal of wax from apples using test products. The device was set up and run according to the protocol described below.

Test Method for EXAMPLE 1

10

Test Wax: AP 40 Shellac, typical of waxes used to coat apples

Tared, clean glass coupons (3"x5") have ~ 30 mg. of wet shellac applied by spraying on with an air brush to achieve even distribution on the coupon. The coupons are then dried or cured by placing in an oven at 75C for 1 hour and weighed to determine the amount of wax applied. The coupons are then refrigerated at 40F for 1 hr. to harden the shellac.

The plate is then placed in a Gardner machine. A fine spore sponge is used in the cleaning test and is pre-weighed then soaked in the cleaning solution. The sponge is wrung out by hand 3 times and placed on a scale and the balance of the predetermined amount of product to use in the test is added by means of a pipette to the sponge. For this testing, 2.5 grams of test cleaning product is used. The prepared sponge is placed into the Gardner machine cart and the wiping speed is set to 2 on the speed scale, with 10 repetitions on rubbing. After the Gardner washing, the coupons are removed and rinsed under 70F soft water at ~ 200 ml/sec.

25 The glass plates are allowed to dry horizontally and weighed to determine wax removal. The test is repeated with each product 5 times; and the high and low readings are discarded; and the remaining 3 reading are averaged for the

determination of % removal.

Note:

- 5 Examples C and F show markedly improved wax removal performance in view of comparative examples A,B and D, E respectively. Surprisingly, C is better than D despite the lack of surfactants

EXAMPLE 2

10

Ingredient	G	H	I
Sodium bicarbonate	0.55	2.0	2.0
Ethanol	10	10	3
Glycerin	2	2	2
Oleic acid	.44	.44	.44
KOH	.95	.95	.95
Citric acid	.52	.52	.52
Grapefruit oil	0.03	0.03	0.03
DI water	<u>balance</u>	<u>balance</u>	<u>balance</u>
Total	100	100	100
pH	11	10.5	10.5
% Wax removed	40	82	82

Ingredient	J	K
Sodium bicarbonate	.55	2
Ethanol	2	0
Glycerin	2	0
Oleic acid	2.643	2.643
KOH	1.3	1.5
Citric acid	.52	.52
PEG-3350	0	.5
Grapefruit oil	0.03	0.03
DI water	<u>balance</u>	<u>balance</u>
Total	100	100
pH	11.5	11.5
% Wax removed	51	85

15

Ingredient	L	M
Sodium bicarbonate	.55	2
Ethanol	2	2
Glycerin	2	0
Oleic acid	2.643	2.643

KOH	1.3	2.12
Citric acid	.52	.52
PEG-3350	0	.5
Grapefruit oil	0.03	0.03
DI water	<u>balance</u>	<u>balance</u>
Total	100	100
pH	11.5	11.5
% Wax removed	43	76

The shellac removal test is a gravimetric method carried out in controlled laboratory conditions in which shellac is applied to glass flasks, cured, and washed with product to determine percent removal.

5

Test Method for EXAMPLE 2

Laboratory Conditions:

Laboratory temperature approximately 70F.

Relative humidity approximately 30% +/- 5% using dehumidifier.
10 (Humidity is a factor which can influence test reproducibility; therefore, it is kept constant during testing.)

Glass Surface Preparation

Five flasks are used for each leg in any given test.

15 250 ml round-bottom boiling flasks, which approximate the diameter of a medium to large apple, are etched with IDs. Flasks are always handled with residue-free gloves to prevent contamination. The flasks are washed in an automatic dishwasher on normal wash cycle and time with heat dry. Flasks are then soaked in 5% H₂SO₄ for 3.5 hours then rinsed with distilled water for 10
20 seconds. Rinsing is repeated twice. (This treatment is used to restore flasks to a near original state, since glass surface has been identified as a factor influencing reproducibility in this method.) Flasks are placed on drying rack in controlled temperature/humidity (CT/RH) lab, covered with a single layer of towels (to prevent dust contamination), & allowed to dry overnight.

25

Shellac Application:

In CT/RH lab, dry flasks are tared. (W₁). Using one-half towel folded in quarters, wet one area of towel by placing over mouth of shellac container and turning over twice. A fresh towel is used after coating 5 - 7 flasks. Using flask
30 neck as a "handle", wipe bulb area of flask on coated area of towel, spinning flask to get uniform coating. Note: Immediately after applying the coating, the weight

of applied wax should be approximately 100 mg. This will dry to approximately 20-30 mg of wax, the amount typically applied to real apples. The flask is then placed on a drying rack.

5 Curing:

The flasks are placed in forced air lab oven at 75C for 60 minutes. After 60 minutes, the flasks are removed from the oven, and allowed to equilibrate on rack in CT/RH lab for 1 hour.

10 Washing Treatment/Chilled Flasks:

The flasks are weighed (W_2) to determine the amount of shellac on each flask and placed in a refrigerator ($\sim 36F$) for 1 hour.

Running soft water (for rinsing) is adjusted to a flow rate of 3L/min and temperature of 65F. The flasks are removed individually from the refrigerator for washing. Each flask is washed by spraying the bulb with 2 squirts (full trigger pulls from a standard trigger sprayer) of test product, using the flask's neck as a "handle". The flask is rubbed for 10 seconds using firm, even pressure and rotation. All of the waxed surface is rubbed. The flask is held upside down, and rinsed under running soft water for 5 seconds. The flask is allowed to dry overnight.

Calculating Data:

The dry flasks are weighed (W_3).

The percent removal for each flask is calculated by first subtracting the tare weight of the flask (W_1) from the weight of the waxed flask (W_2). (This is the amount of applied wax, A_o .) Then subtract the tare weight (W_1) from the post-wash flask weight (W_3). (This is the amount of wax left after washing, A_w .) Finally, use the equation below to calculate percent wax removed.

30 $A_o = W_2 - W_1$
 $A_w = W_3 - W_1$

$$\% \text{ Wax Removed} = (1 - A_w/A_o) \times 100$$

35 The average is calculated for each test leg.

Note:

The examples H, I, K and M show a marked improvement in wax removal performance in view of corresponding comparative examples J, G, and L.

5

EXAMPLE 3

Ingredient	N	O	P	Q
NaHCO ₃	0.5	1.88	1.47	1.82
K ₂ CO ₃	10	5.9	2.4	5.7
Citric acid	0.49	0.53	.56	.51
KOH	0.82	1.62	1.89	1.57
ETOH	1.8	1.88	1.96	1.82
Oleic acid	0	0	2.60	0
C11E9	0	0	0	3.6
PEG-3350	0.5	0.5	.49	.46
grapefruit oil	0.02	.03	.03	.03
DI water	<u>balance</u>	<u>balance</u>	<u>balance</u>	<u>balance</u>
Total	100	100	100	100
pH	12	11.8	11.64	11.7

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1. A method for cleaning produce comprising the step of contacting the surfaces of said produce with an aqueous cleaning solution comprising basic carbonate buffer in an effective amount to provide removal of waxy materials, wherein the level of buffer provides from 1% to 10% by weight of the composition as CO_3^{2-} , and having a basic pH of greater than 9.5.
2. The method of Claim 1 wherein said aqueous cleaning solution comprises:
 - (a) toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from 1% to 10% by weight as carbonate ion;
 - (b) optionally, from 0.01% to 15% of C₈-C₁₈ fatty acid;
 - (c) optionally, from 0.1% to 4% by weight of nonionic surfactant;
 - (d) optionally, from 0.1% to 4% by weight of organic polycarboxylic acid;
 - (e) optionally, up to 0.2% by weight of base-stable anionic surfactant;
 - (f) optionally, an additional toxicologically acceptable non-carbonate basic buffer;
 - (g) optionally, toxicologically-acceptable preservative;
 - (h) optionally, from 0.05% to 10% of phosphoric acid;
 - (i) the balance comprising an aqueous carrier selected from water and, optionally, low molecular weight, toxicologically-acceptable organic solvent; wherein said aqueous solution has a pH of more than 9.5.
3. The composition according to any of the preceding Claims which comprises:
 - (a) toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from 1.25 % to 3.6 % by weight as carbonate ion;
 - (b) optionally, from 0.01% to 15% of C₈-C₁₈ fatty acid;
 - (c) optionally, from 0.1% to 4% by weight of nonionic surfactant;
 - (d) optionally, from 0.1% to 4% by weight of organic polycarboxylic acid;
 - (e) optionally, up to 0.2% by weight of base-stable anionic surfactant;
 - (f) optionally, an additional toxicologically acceptable non-carbonate basic buffer;
 - (g) optionally, toxicologically-acceptable preservative;
 - (h) optionally, from 0.05% to 10% of phosphoric acid;
 - (i) the balance comprising an aqueous carrier selected from water and, optionally, low molecular weight, toxicologically-acceptable organic solvent;

wherein said composition has a pH of more than 9.5, preferably from 11.5 to 12.3.

- 4 A composition according to any of the preceding Claims which comprises toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from 1.25 % to 3.6 %, preferably 2% by weight as carbonate ion wherein the source of said carbonate ion is selected from the group consisting of sodium bicarbonate, sodium carbonate, potassium bicarbonate, and potassium carbonate; and wherein said composition has a pH of from 11.5 to 12.5.
5. A composition according to any of the preceding Claims with an improved tactile impression for fruits and vegetables, comprising:
 - (a) toxicologically acceptable basic carbonate buffer at a level to provide from 1.25 % to 3.6 % by weight as carbonate ion;
 - (b) at least 0.75%, by weight, of a C₁₂-C₁₈ fatty acid or salt thereof;
 - (c) at least 0.05%, by weight, of water-soluble polyethylene glycol having a molecular weight of 200, or higher;
 - (d) a water or water-ethanol fluid carrier, said composition having a basic pH.
6. A composition according to any of the preceding Claims wherein component (b) has a molecular weight in the range from 300 to 9500.
7. A composition according to any of the preceding Claims which additionally comprises oil derived from grapefruit.
8. The composition according to any of the preceding Claims wherein said composition contains from 0.001% to 0.05% of an antioxidant.
9. A sprayable composition according to any of the preceding Claims which has a viscosity less than 100 centipoise, preferably less than 50 centipoise, under shear of greater than 1000 sec⁻¹.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 96/16756

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 A23P1/00 C11D3/10 C11D7/08 C11D1/04 C11D10/04
C11D1/83

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 A23P C11D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 95 12326 A (THE PROCTER & GAMBLE CO.) 11 May 1995 see the whole document ---	1-9
A	EP 0 012 994 A (HENKEL KGAA. 9 July 1980 see page 4, line 9 - line 37 see claims 1,7 ---	1-3
A	US 4 404 040 A (WANG YUEH 13 September 1983 see claims 1-21 ---	1-3
A	US 5 280 042 A (LOPES JOHN A. 18 January 1994 cited in the application see column 2, line 34 - column 5, line 3 --- -/--	1,2

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- * A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- * E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- * L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- * O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- * P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

* T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

* X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

* Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

* &* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

4 February 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

17.02.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+ 31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+ 31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Serbetsoglou, A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

al Application No

PCT/US 96/16756

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	<p>US 2 374 209 A (KALMAR A. F.)</p> <p>24 April 1945</p> <p>see claims</p> <p>-----</p>	1,4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 96/16756

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO-A-9512326	11-05-95	US-A- 5549758	27-08-96
		US-A- 5500143	19-03-96
		US-A- 5500048	19-03-96
		US-A- 5498295	12-03-96
		US-A- 5503764	02-04-96
		AU-A- 1043695	23-05-95
		BR-A- 9407963	03-12-96
		EP-A- 0726717	21-08-96
		ZA-A- 9408585	26-06-95
EP-A-0012994	09-07-80	DE-A- 2856086	10-07-80
		AT-B- 373910	12-03-84
		JP-A- 55090596	09-07-80
		US-A- 4244975	13-01-81
US-A-4404040	13-09-83	AU-B- 561736	14-05-87
		AU-A- 8769582	02-02-83
		CA-A- 1188188	04-06-85
		WO-A- 8300163	20-01-83
US-A-5280042	18-01-94	US-A- 5143720	01-09-92
		AU-A- 9121191	25-06-92
		CA-A- 2096837	29-05-92
		EP-A- 0561959	29-09-93
		JP-T- 6502868	31-03-94
		WO-A- 9209260	11-06-92
US-A-2374209	24-04-45	NONE	

